

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/813,139	03/30/2004	Lydie Poitout	427.054-DIV.	5412
47888 7	590 02/08/2006		EXAMINER	
HEDMAN & COSTIGAN P.C.			HABTE, KAHSAY	
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/813,139	POITOUT ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kahsay Habte	1624		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/2 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowá closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 15-24 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24 is/are withdrawn f 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/048,144. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)	_			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 15-24 are pending in this application.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's amendment filed 12/29/05 in response to the previous Office Action (08/26/2005) is acknowledged. Rejection of claims 15-23 and 25 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph (paragraph 6b) has been obviated. The second paragraph rejections (items 6a and 6c) have been maintained.

Claim objections

3. Claim 15 is objected to because the term "benzo[b]furannyl" is a typo. This term in claim 15 (page 5, line 2) should read as "benzo[b]furanyl".

Response to arguments

Applicant's argument filed 12/29/2005 has been fully considered but it is not persuasive.

Applicants have fixed this term in claim 18 and 25, but this typo was also in claim 15.

4. In claim 15 (page 3, line 3), there is a period in the middle of the claim. The period after term "phthalimidoalkyl." should be removed.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,139 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

5. Claim 23 is objected to because of recitation of the phrase "for treating disorders..." is improper. Note that claim 23 is a composition claim and not a method of use claim. If applicants intend a method claim, then the claim should be written in a method of use claim language. It is recommended that applicants delete the intended use from claim 23.

Response to arguments

Applicant's argument filed 12/29/2005 has been fully considered but it is not persuasive.

Applicants believe that the composition claim is proper, but give no reason why the composition claim is proper or why the objection is improper. Note that the method claims are restricted out and are not examined until the product is found allowable. The examiner definitely would reject claim 24 (method of use) under 112 first paragraph rejection (enablement) after the condition for the rejoinder are met. Thus, the intended use on a composition is improper. The examiner recommends that applicants delete the intended use from the composition claim 23.

Specification

6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because there the terms "benzo[b]furannyl" or "furannyl" are typographic errors. Applicants have to fix these typographical problems in the specification. For example, see page 5 (line 2) of the specification. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/813,139

Art Unit: 1624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 15-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention:

- a. In claim 15 (page 3, lines 1-2), the phrase "benzothiophenyl and phthalimidyl and carbocyclic aralkyl and heterocyclic aralkyl are selected from the group consisting of benzyl, phenylethyl....." is confusing. Applicants have recited specific rings such as benzyl, phenylpropyl,....and phthalimidoalkyl, but it is unclear if said rings belong to heterocyclic aralkyl or to carbocyclic aralkyl. It is also unclear where the end of the definition of Z2 is. There is the term "and" in three places. It is recommended that applicants separate the definition of carbocyclic aralkyl from heterocyclic aralkyl and amend the claim as "benzofuranyl, benzothiophenyl, phthalimidyl, carbocyclic aralkyl selected from benzyl, phenylethyl and phenyl butyl, and heterocyclic aralkyl are selected from indolylalkyl and phthalimidoalkyl".
- b. Claim 15 and claims dependent thereon are rejected because the phrase "substituted carbocyclic or heterocyclic aryl" is indefinite. In the absence of the specific

Application/Control Number: 10/813,139 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

moieties intended to effectuate modification by the "substitution" or attachment to the chemical core claimed, the term "substituted" renders the claims in which it appears indefinite in all occurrences wherein applicants fails to articulate by chemical name, structural formula or sufficiently distinct functional language, the particular moieties applicants regards as those which will facilitate substitution, requisite to identifying the composition of matter claimed.

Response to arguments

Applicant's argument filed 12/29/2005 has been fully considered but it is not persuasive.

Applicants have amended "substituted radical" to "substituted group", but did not address this issue. The examiner has raised this issue before (see item 6c). Applicants have to recite any substituents on aryl, carbocyclic or heterocyclic aryl or on any other groups to overcome this rejection

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/813,139

Art Unit: 1624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kahsay Habte Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

KH February 6, 2006